

# Closed Under Addition

## Mastering the Concept of "Closed Under Addition"

The concept of "closed under addition" is fundamental in abstract algebra and has significant implications across various branches of mathematics, including number theory, linear algebra, and group theory. Understanding this concept is crucial for building a solid foundation in these areas and solving problems related to sets and their properties. Essentially, it addresses whether performing an operation (in this case, addition) within a specific set keeps the result confined within that same set. This article will delve into the intricacies of "closed under addition," addressing common challenges and providing clear examples to enhance your understanding.

### 1. Defining "Closed Under Addition"

A set  $S$  is said to be closed under addition if for any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  belonging to  $S$ , their sum ( $a + b$ ) also belongs to  $S$ . This seemingly simple definition holds profound implications. It means the operation of addition, when performed on elements within the set, does not produce any results that lie outside the set.

For example, consider the set of even numbers,  $E = \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ . If we add any two even numbers, the result is always another even number. Therefore, the set of even numbers is closed under addition. However, the set of odd numbers is not closed under addition because the sum of two odd numbers is always an even number (and thus outside the set of odd numbers).

## 2. Identifying Sets Closed Under Addition: A Step-by-Step Approach

Determining whether a set is closed under addition often requires a careful examination of its elements and the properties governing those elements. Here's a step-by-step approach:

1. Define the set: Clearly identify the elements comprising the set. Is it a set of integers, real numbers, matrices, or something else?
2. Select arbitrary elements: Choose two arbitrary elements,  $a$  and  $b$ , from the set. It's crucial to choose arbitrary elements to ensure the property holds for all elements, not just specific ones.
3. Perform the addition: Calculate the sum  $a + b$ .
4. Check for membership: Determine whether the result ( $a + b$ ) is an element of the original set  $S$ .
5. Generalize: If the result is always within the set for any arbitrary choice of  $a$  and  $b$ , then the set is closed under addition. If even one counterexample is found (a pair  $a$  and  $b$  whose sum is not in  $S$ ), the set is not closed under addition.

Example: Let's consider the set of positive integers,  $P = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Let  $a = 5$  and  $b = 7$  (arbitrary elements from  $P$ ).  $a + b = 12$ , which is also in  $P$ . This holds true for any two positive integers. Therefore, the set of positive integers is closed under addition.

## 3. Counterexamples and Why They Matter

Finding a counterexample is a powerful technique to prove that a set is not closed under addition. A single instance where the sum of two elements falls outside the set is sufficient to disprove closure.

Example: Consider the set of prime numbers,  $P_r = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$ . While  $2 + 3 = 5$  (which is prime),  $3 + 5 = 8$  (which is not prime). Therefore, the set of prime numbers is not closed under addition. This single counterexample is enough to conclude this.

## 4. Sets Closed Under Addition in Different Contexts

The concept of closure under addition extends beyond simple number sets. It applies to more complex mathematical structures:

**Matrices:** The set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices with real entries is closed under addition. Adding two such matrices results in another  $2 \times 2$  matrix with real entries.

**Vectors:** The set of all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n$ -dimensional real vectors) is closed under addition. Adding two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  produces another vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Polynomials:** The set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $n$  with real coefficients is closed under addition. Adding two such polynomials results in a polynomial of degree less than or equal to  $n$ .

## 5. Implications and Applications

The property of closure under addition is fundamental in various areas:

**Group Theory:** Groups are algebraic structures satisfying specific axioms, including closure under a defined operation (often addition or multiplication). Understanding closure is crucial for identifying and working with groups.

**Linear Algebra:** Vector spaces, a core concept in linear algebra, require closure under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

**Number Theory:** Investigating sets of numbers with specific properties (e.g., even numbers, multiples of 3) often involves examining whether they are closed under various operations, including addition.

## Summary

The concept of "closed under addition" is a cornerstone of abstract algebra and has far-reaching

consequences across multiple mathematical disciplines. Determining whether a set is closed under addition involves a systematic approach: defining the set, selecting arbitrary elements, performing the addition, and checking if the result remains within the set. Counterexamples are powerful tools for disproving closure. Understanding this fundamental concept opens doors to tackling more complex problems in abstract algebra and related fields.

## FAQs

1. Q: Can a set be closed under addition but not under subtraction? A: Yes, absolutely. For example, the set of non-negative integers  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is closed under addition but not under subtraction (e.g.,  $1 - 2 = -1$ , which is not in the set).
2. Q: What if the set is infinite? How do I check for closure? A: Even with infinite sets, the principle remains the same. You need to show that the addition of any two elements, regardless of how large or small, always results in an element within the set. Proofs often involve using the properties of the elements in the set to demonstrate this.
3. Q: Is the empty set closed under addition? A: Yes, trivially. The definition of closure under addition requires that the sum of any two elements remains within the set. Since the empty set has no elements, this condition is vacuously true.
4. Q: Is the set of rational numbers closed under addition? A: Yes. The sum of any two rational numbers (which can be expressed as fractions) is always another rational number.
5. Q: How does closure under addition relate to closure under multiplication? A: While both are closure properties, they are distinct. A set can be closed under one but not the other. For instance, the set of even numbers is closed under addition but not under multiplication ( $2 \times 2 = 4$ , but  $2 \times 3 = 6$ , which is not closed). They are separate properties to consider when analyzing a set's behavior under different operations.

## Formatted Text:

165lbs in kg

*stern of a ship*

*64 km miles*

**who invented the steam engine**

~~ladybug vs ladybird~~

snack bar calories

**13 lbs in kg**

**nonchalant synonym**

**detrimental synonym**

80kg in lbs

~~what are chromosomes made of~~

theocracy definition

140 kg to lbs

~~simile meaning~~

~~balancing equations~~

## Search Results:

**With regards to vector spaces, what does it mean to be 'closed ...** So a set is closed under addition if the sum of any two elements in the set is also in the set. For example, the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  have a standard binary operation called addition (the familiar one). Then the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under addition because the sum of any two integers is an integer.

Closure of Integers? - Mathematics Stack Exchange 26 Sep 2019 · Fellow Mathers, is the fact that the Integers are closed under addition and multiplication...  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a + b = c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{Z} \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, ab = c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  ...universal axioms, theorems, depen...

What does the "closed over"/"closed under" terminology mean ... There's a way that closed sets are related to sets that are closed under a particular operation in certain topological spaces (if you allow the usage that includes infinite sequences as above), but I haven't come up with a general relation between the two concepts.

**A curiosity: how do we prove  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed under ...** 12 Dec 2018 · Under this axiomatization,  $\mathbb{R}$  is, by definition, closed under addition, but no multiplication operation is defined a priori. I am not as familiar with this construction, but the above cited Wikipedia article suggests that Tarski was able to define a multiplication operation and show that it behaved as expected, making  $\mathbb{R}$  into a field.

*The definition of a vector space: closure under scalar multiplication* 22 Jul 2015 · Now in this set vector addition is like addition of forces in physics: parallelogram law. In this set internally there is addition. Also there is an external operation. Any vector can be "scaled up/down" by any real number. This real number is not part of the set of arrows. But it makes sense to talk of 3.75 times a force.

*elementary set theory - What does "closed under ..." mean ...* 1 Mar 2016 · A set is closed under addition if you can add any two numbers in the set and still have a number in the set as a result. A set is closed under (scalar) multiplication if you can multiply any two elements, and the result is still a number in the set. For instance, the set  $\{1, -1\}$  is closed under multiplication but not addition.

*Understanding being closed under addition and multiplication* 26 Mar 2015 · Following up on WMycroft's example, consider some more intuitive examples: (1) The set of even numbers is additively-closed under itself since adding two even numbers always produces an even number.

*Proving that the set of polynomials is closed under addition* 5 Oct 2023 · For your purpose, proving closure of polynomials under addition, the definition of adding functions guarantees that we get a sum of two functions as being a function. The difficulty then lies in showing that this function (from  $\mathbf{R}$  ...

linear algebra - Prove a Set is Closed Under Addition Thus, since  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  being in the set implies that  $\vec{v} + \vec{w}$  is also in the set, it is closed under vector addition.   
  $\blacksquare$  Share Cite

*ring theory - The subring test (subtraction vs. addition closure ...* It seems that the problem lies in what it means to be closed under addition. My interpretation of being closed under addition is that if you restrict the binary operation of addition to the subset that you want to study, then you get a well defined function.

## Closed Under Addition

## Mastering the Concept of "Closed Under Addition"

The concept of "closed under addition" is fundamental in abstract algebra and has significant implications across various branches of mathematics, including number theory, linear algebra, and group theory. Understanding this concept is crucial for building a solid foundation in these areas and solving problems related to sets and their properties. Essentially, it addresses whether performing an operation (in this case, addition) within a specific set keeps the result confined within that same set.

This article will delve into the intricacies of "closed under addition," addressing common challenges and providing clear examples to enhance your understanding.

## 1. Defining "Closed Under Addition"

A set  $S$  is said to be closed under addition if for any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  belonging to  $S$ , their sum ( $a + b$ ) also belongs to  $S$ . This seemingly simple definition holds profound implications. It means the operation of addition, when performed on elements within the set, does not produce any results that lie outside the set.

For example, consider the set of even numbers,  $E = \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ . If we add any two even numbers, the result is always another even number. Therefore, the set of even numbers is closed under addition. However, the set of odd numbers is not closed under addition because the sum of two odd numbers is always an even number (and thus outside the set of odd numbers).

## 2. Identifying Sets Closed Under Addition: A Step-by-Step Approach

Determining whether a set is closed under addition often requires a careful examination of its elements and the properties governing those elements. Here's a step-by-step approach:

1. Define the set: Clearly identify the elements comprising the set. Is it a set of integers, real numbers, matrices, or something else?
2. Select arbitrary elements: Choose two arbitrary elements,  $a$  and  $b$ , from the set. It's crucial to choose arbitrary elements to ensure the property holds for all elements, not just specific ones.
3. Perform the addition: Calculate the sum  $a + b$ .
4. Check for membership: Determine whether the result ( $a + b$ ) is an element of the original set  $S$ .
5. Generalize: If the result is always within the set for any arbitrary choice of  $a$  and  $b$ , then the set is closed under addition. If even one counterexample is found (a pair  $a$  and  $b$  whose sum is not in  $S$ ), the set is not closed under addition.

Example: Let's consider the set of positive integers,  $P = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Let  $a = 5$  and  $b = 7$  (arbitrary elements from  $P$ ).  $a + b = 12$ , which is also in  $P$ . This holds true for any two positive integers. Therefore, the set of positive integers is closed under addition.

## 3. Counterexamples and Why They Matter

Finding a counterexample is a powerful technique to prove that a set is not closed under addition. A single instance where the sum of two elements falls outside the set is sufficient to disprove closure.

Example: Consider the set of prime numbers,  $P_r = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$ . While  $2 + 3 = 5$  (which is prime),  $3 + 5 = 8$  (which is not prime). Therefore, the set of prime numbers is not closed under addition. This single counterexample is enough to conclude this.

## 4. Sets Closed Under Addition in Different Contexts

The concept of closure under addition extends beyond simple number sets. It applies to more complex mathematical structures:

**Matrices:** The set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices with real entries is closed under addition. Adding two such matrices results in another  $2 \times 2$  matrix with real entries.

**Vectors:** The set of all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (n-dimensional real vectors) is closed under addition. Adding two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  produces another vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Polynomials:** The set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $n$  with real coefficients is closed under addition. Adding two such polynomials results in a polynomial of degree less than or equal to  $n$ .

## 5. Implications and Applications

The property of closure under addition is fundamental in various areas:

**Group Theory:** Groups are algebraic structures satisfying specific axioms, including closure under a defined operation (often addition or multiplication). Understanding closure is crucial for identifying and working with groups.

**Linear Algebra:** Vector spaces, a core concept in linear algebra, require closure under vector addition



and scalar multiplication.

Number Theory: Investigating sets of numbers with specific properties (e.g., even numbers, multiples of 3) often involves examining whether they are closed under various operations, including addition.

## Summary

The concept of "closed under addition" is a cornerstone of abstract algebra and has far-reaching consequences across multiple mathematical disciplines. Determining whether a set is closed under addition involves a systematic approach: defining the set, selecting arbitrary elements, performing the addition, and checking if the result remains within the set. Counterexamples are powerful tools for disproving closure. Understanding this fundamental concept opens doors to tackling more complex problems in abstract algebra and related fields.

## FAQs

1. Q: Can a set be closed under addition but not under subtraction? A: Yes, absolutely. For example, the set of non-negative integers  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is closed under addition but not under subtraction (e.g.,  $1 - 2 = -1$ , which is not in the set).
2. Q: What if the set is infinite? How do I check for closure? A: Even with infinite sets, the principle remains the same. You need to show that the addition of any two elements, regardless of how large or small, always results in an element within the set. Proofs often involve using the properties of the elements in the set to demonstrate this.
3. Q: Is the empty set closed under addition? A: Yes, trivially. The definition of closure under addition requires that the sum of any two elements remains within the set. Since the empty set has no elements, this condition is vacuously true.
4. Q: Is the set of rational numbers closed under addition? A: Yes. The sum of any two rational numbers (which can be expressed as fractions) is always another rational number.
5. Q: How does closure under addition relate to closure under multiplication? A: While both are closure

properties, they are distinct. A set can be closed under one but not the other. For instance, the set of even numbers is closed under addition but not under multiplication ( $2 \times 2 = 4$ , but  $2 \times 3 = 6$ , which is not closed). They are separate properties to consider when analyzing a set's behavior under different operations.

13 km miles

will a square tessellate

8 celsius to fahrenheit

09 kg to pounds

how many plays did shakespeare write

**With regards to vector spaces, what does it mean to be 'closed ...** So a set is closed under addition if the sum of any two elements in the set is also in the set. For example, the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  have a standard binary operation called addition (the familiar one). Then the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under addition because the sum of any two integers is an integer.

Closure of Integers? - Mathematics Stack

Exchange 26 Sep 2019 · Fellow Mathers, is the fact that the Integers are closed under addition and multiplication...  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a + b = c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$   $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, ab = c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  ...universal axioms, theorems, depen...

What does the "closed over"/"closed under" terminology mean ... There's a way that closed sets are related to sets that are closed under a particular operation in certain topological spaces (if you allow the usage that includes infinite sequences as above), but I haven't come up with a general relation between the two concepts.

**A curiosity: how do we prove  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed under ...** 12 Dec 2018 · Under this axiomatization,  $\mathbb{R}$  is, by definition, closed under addition, but no multiplication operation is defined a priori. I am not as familiar with this construction, but the above cited Wikipedia article suggests that Tarski was able to define a multiplication operation and show that it behaved as expected, making  $\mathbb{R}$  into a field.

*The definition of a vector space: closure under scalar multiplication* 22 Jul 2015 · Now in this set vector addition is like addition of forces in physics: parallelogram law. In this set internally there is addition. Also there is an external operation. Any vector can be "scaled up/down" by any real number. This real number is not part of the set of arrows. But it makes sense to talk of 3.75 times a force.

*elementary set theory - What does "closed under ..." mean ...* 1 Mar 2016 · A set is closed under addition if you can add any two numbers in the

set and still have a number in the set as a result. A set is closed under (scalar) multiplication if you can multiply any two elements, and the result is still a number in the set. For instance, the set  $\{1, -1\}$  is closed under multiplication but not addition.

*Understanding being closed under addition and multiplication* 26 Mar 2015 · Following up on WMycroft's example, consider some more intuitive examples: (1) The set of even numbers is additively-closed under itself since adding two even numbers always produces an even number.

*Proving that the set of polynomials is closed under addition* 5 Oct 2023 · For your purpose, proving closure of polynomials under addition,

the definition of adding functions guarantees that we get a sum of two functions as being a function. The difficulty then lies in showing that this function (from  $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ...

linear algebra - Prove a Set is Closed Under Addition Thus, since  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  being in the set implies that  $\vec{v} + \vec{w}$  is also in the set, it is closed under vector addition.  $\blacksquare$  Share Cite

*ring theory - The subring test (subtraction vs. addition closure ...* It seems that the problem lies in what it means to be closed under addition. My interpretation of being closed under addition is that if you restrict the binary operation of addition to the subset that you want to study, then you get a well defined function.